United States Courts
Southern District of Texas
ENTERED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

JUN 2 8 2002

Michael N. Milby, Clerk

	X
MARK NEWBY, et al., Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	: : :
Plaintiffs,	: Civil Action No. H-01-3624 : (Consolidated)
VS.	: CLASS ACTION
ENRON CORP., et al.,	: Hon. Melinda Harmon
Defendants.	: : v
THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, et al., Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	A : :
Plaintiffs,	:
vs.	: :
KENNETH L. LAY, et al.,	:
Defendants.	; ;
	X

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Unopposed Motion of Defendant Andersen-India to File Proposed Form of Order, by which Defendant Andersen-India seeks leave of court to file the form of Order attached hereto as Exhibit "A." Because Defendant's Motion is meritorious and is unopposed, the Court

ORDERS that the form of Order attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is accepted for filing with the Motion to Dismiss.



SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this <u>27</u> day of June, 2002.

MELINDA HARMON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

MARK NEWBY, et al., Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	: :	
Plaintiffs,	: Civil Action No. H-01-3624 : (Consolidated)	
VS.	CLASS ACTION	
ENRON CORP., et al.,	Hon. Melinda Harmon	
Defendants.		
THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, et al., Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,		
Plaintiffs,		
vs.		
KENNETH L. LAY, et al.,		
Defendants. :	•	
X		
<u>ORDER</u>		
On this day of, 2002, came on for consideration the Motion to		
Dismiss of Defendant Andersen-India. After considering the motion, Plaintiffs' response and all		
of the pleadings and papers bearing on this matter, the Court finds and concludes that the motion		
to dismiss should be GRANTED, and that because Plaintiffs previously have been given an		

Exhibit "A"

opportunity to plead any claims against Andersen-India, Plaintiffs should not be given leave to

amend or replead. Accordingly, the Court

ORDERS that all claims asserted by Plaintiffs against Andersen-India are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE, without leave to amend or replead. SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this _____ day of _____, 2002. MELINDA HARMON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE